

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

IN RE: T.C. : APPEAL NO. C-160562  
 : TRIAL NO. 15-5964(X)  
 :  
 : *JUDGMENT ENTRY.*

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* Rep.Op.R. 3.1; App.R. 11.1(E); 1st Dist. Loc.R. 11.1.1.

On August 12, 2015, T.C. was charged with being a delinquent child for committing acts that, had he been an adult, would have constituted the offense of obstructing official business, in violation of R.C. 2921.31. After denying his motion to suppress, the magistrate adjudicated T.C. delinquent. T.C. filed objections to the decision. The trial court overruled the objections and “accepted and approved” the decision of the magistrate “as the judgment of the Court.” T.C. has appealed that decision, asserting three assignments of error. We lack jurisdiction to hear the appeal.

This court recently addressed the requirement for appeal in cases involving an adjudication of delinquency. *In re A.T.*, 1st Dist. Hamilton Nos. C-160597, C-160598 and C-160599, 2017-Ohio-8521. In that case, A.T. had been adjudicated delinquent by a magistrate. *Id.* at ¶ 2. The juvenile objected to the decision, and the trial court overruled the objections and adopted the decision of the magistrate. *Id.* But the trial court’s orders did not contain a “clear pronouncement of the trial court’s judgment that expressed what appellant’s responsibilities and obligations are.” *Id.* at ¶ 10, quoting *In re D.P.*, 10th Dist. Franklin Nos. 06AP-179, 06AP-180 and 06AP-181, 2006-Ohio-5098, ¶ 7. We concluded that, as a result, “the entries failed to satisfy Juv.R. 40(D)(4)(e)’s requirement that the court ‘also enter a judgment,’ and they are not final, appealable orders.” *Id.*

**OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In this case, T.C. had been adjudicated delinquent by the magistrate for obstructing official business and had objected to that decision. The trial court overruled the objections and “accepted and approved [the decision of the magistrate] as the judgment of the Court.” The trial court’s entry did not satisfy Juv.R. 40(D)(4)(e)’s requirement that the court “also enter a judgment.” Absent a final, appealable order, this court lacks jurisdiction to proceed. *Id.* at ¶ 4, citing *State v. Daniels*, 1st Dist. Hamilton No. C-140242, 2014-Ohio-5160, ¶ 5.

The appeal is hereby dismissed.

A certified copy of this judgment entry is the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

**MOCK, P.J., MYERS and MILLER, J.J.**

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on July 26, 2017

per order of the court \_\_\_\_\_  
Presiding Judge