## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-170224
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	TRIAL NO. B-1105638-A : <i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i> :
vs. SCOTT NEUMEISTER,	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* Rep.Op.R. 3.1; App.R. 11.1(E); 1st Dist. Loc.R. 11.1.1.

Defendant-appellant Scott Neumeister appeals from the amended judgment entry and sentence entered by the trial court on April 27, 2017, pursuant to this court's remand in *State v. Neumeister*, 1st Dist. Hamilton No. C-150531, 2016-Ohio-5293, ¶ 22.

In a single assignment of error, Neumeister argues the trial court erred by imposing void sentences that were not in accordance with the law.

In Neumeister's 2015 appeal, this court specifically addressed and found not well taken Neumeister's argument that "his convictions for passing bad checks and theft as charged in counts 2, 3, 16, 31, and 34 through 39 [we]re void, because he [had not been] sentenced on those counts in accordance with H.B. 86." *See Neumeister* at ¶ 14-17. Our ruling on these issues remains the law of the case for all subsequent proceedings. *See Giancola v. Azem*, Slip Opinion No. 2018-Ohio-1694, ¶ 14; *Nolan v. Nolan*, 11 Ohio St.3d 1, 3, 462 N.E.2d 410 (1984) (holding that under the "law of the case" doctrine a "decision of a reviewing court in a case remains the law of that case on the legal questions involved for all subsequent proceedings in the case at both the trial and reviewing levels"). Therefore, we overrule the sole assignment of error and affirm the judgment of the trial court.

Further, a certified copy of this judgment entry shall constitute the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

## ZAYAS, P.J., MYERS AND DETERS, JJ.

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on June 13, 2018

per order of the court \_

Presiding Judge