

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-180667
	:	TRIAL NO. B-1803062
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
vs.	:	
CHRIS KRAMER,	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* Rep.Op.R. 3.1; App.R. 11.1(E); 1st Dist. Loc.R. 11.1.1.

The judgment entry appealed from indicates that defendant-appellant Chris Kramer was found guilty of receiving stolen property following a jury trial even though the record plainly demonstrates that Kramer had not requested a jury trial but had instead entered a plea of guilty. We note that the trial court retains jurisdiction to correct this clerical error on the judgment entry.

Kramer's appointed counsel has advised this court that, after a thorough review of the record, he can find nothing that would arguably support appellant's appeal, and that the appeal is wholly frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *see also Freels v. Hills*, 843 F.2d 958 (6th Cir.1988). Counsel, as required by *Anders*, has communicated this conclusion to appellant, and has offered appellant an opportunity to respond and to raise any

**OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS**

---

issues. Counsel has also moved this court for permission to withdraw as counsel. *See Anders* at 744; *see also* 1st Dist. Loc.R. 16.2(C)(1) and 16.2(D)(2).

Counsel now requests that this court independently examine the record to determine whether the appeal is wholly frivolous. *See Anders* at 744. We have done so, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that the proceedings below were free of error prejudicial to appellant and that no grounds exist to support a meritorious appeal. Therefore, we overrule counsel's motion to withdraw from his representation of appellant, and affirm the judgment of the trial court.

We hold that this appeal is frivolous under App.R. 23 and without "reasonable cause" under R.C. 2505.35. But we refrain from taxing costs and expenses against appellant because he is indigent.

Further, a certified copy of this judgment entry shall constitute the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27.

**MOCK, P.J., ZAYAS and WINKLER, JJ.**

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on December 13, 2019  
per order of the court \_\_\_\_\_.  
Presiding Judge