

OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

¶ 8 and 12 (1st Dist.), citing *In re A.W.*, 1st Dist. Hamilton No. C-120787, 2013-Ohio-909, ¶ 3; *In re T.W.*, 1st Dist. Hamilton No. C-130080, 2013-Ohio-1754, ¶ 8; *In re C.F.*, 1st Dist. Hamilton Nos. C-150454 and C-150469, 2015-Ohio-4706, ¶ 5.

Part of the rationale for this holding is that, under these circumstances, even where the parent has asserted injury to her residual parental rights, the appellate court could not effectively redress that injury because the appellate court cannot assume that the relative still desires to be awarded custody of the child. *In re K.C.* at ¶ 12.

In this case, mother no longer seeks custody of the child and neither does the aunt. Thus, mother has no standing to challenge the trial court's judgment granting permanent custody of K.W. to HCJFS and denying the aunt's custody petition.

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal because mother lacks standing to raise the assignment of error.

Further, a certified copy of this judgment entry shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

MYERS, P.J., CROUSE and WINKLER, JJ.

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on February 5, 2020
per order of the court _____.
Presiding Judge